

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

April 26, 1999 LB 271

make sure that property that is in transition is not subject to tax under your proposal. What I think he had in mind was property that a political subdivision had acquired, was in the process of doing something with it, but they hadn't yet clearly finished the project. And he expressed some concerns about whether that transitional period, from acquisition to full use, met the public purpose test. Again, I would suggest to you that that does meet the public purpose test, or the public use test. At least, I would be comfortable with that. But again, if it is necessary comfort language, fine. So what we have done is inserted that you have it used or being developed for use, and then that language is inserted in two different places in the amendment, and you can see that as the second page of the packet that we've distributed to you. So the language: or being used...or being developed for use; is intended to meet the issue that Senator Beutler raises. Do you have something in that transitional period, from acquisition to full public use...or, in a public purpose, that we need to be concerned about? Okay. Then the second part of the amendment is designed...is intended to reach a slightly different set of considerations. But again, I think it's a clarification. You see that in one portion that you can have a public purpose and you can derive some revenues from that operation, because we're going to say that you can provide public services with or without cost to the recipient. So if you go in and you get a 25 cent copying charge, for example, in the courthouse, when you get a deed copied, that doesn't make the copier subject to tax. Alright? So it's clear that we're contemplating that you can have that kind of a cost associated with the delivery of public services, and that doesn't automatically make it subject to tax. The second place where language is added is "with or without consideration", down in the section that says that you can do this to carry out "duties and responsibilities conferred by law", recognizing that in some cases, to carry out your governmental responsibilities for a political subdivision, that they contract with people to carry out those responsibilities. And the glue that binds any contract is consideration. So the language that we've inserted is "with or without consideration"..."with or without consideration", so they...if a governmental body contracts to carry out its responsibilities, that is permissible. The other issue that is addressed is whether or not a lease...this is even a lease that carries out a public purpose...this is a lease that