

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

April 23, 1999 LB 78

don't understand the technical aspects of double piping, and you've looked into it, I don't think it would be unreasonable to conclude that the rest of us probably are at the same disadvantage as you are. So this bill is a policy that the state is adopting relative to double piping. Would you agree with that?

SENATOR BRUNING: This is a state policy, yes, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And it's a policy dealing with a subject about which we don't have a lot of technical knowledge or understanding. Would you agree with that...

SENATOR BRUNING: Senator...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...as a general statement?

SENATOR BRUNING: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Is it worthy of study to see whether or not this is the proper policy to take? Or should we just go ahead and do it?

SENATOR BRUNING: Senator, certainly I would agree to a study and I think the Urban Affairs Committee has and will continue to study the issue, but I'm not a big fan of moratoriums.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then why don't we just abol...let's not pass this bill and let everything proceed as it's proceeding now, leave everything like it is now? You're not in favor of moratoriums. Then you'll persuade me of that on this bill, so then why don't we just not pass this bill and leave the status quo as it is? Why can't we do that?

SENATOR BRUNING: Because the development will continue willy-nilly throughout Sarpy County with double piping here and disputes there that take up ratepayer money, that take up regulatory bodies' time. This is a solution to the problem that we're facing.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But you might conceive that not everybody would agree with the solution...