

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 23, 1999 LB 78

there are...that there are not situations out there where an individual taxpayer might want to institute the proceeding? I mean, the original amendment to this bill involved two corporate entities who apparently agreed that they could do without the aggrieved taxpayer altogether in the amendment, right?

SENATOR BRUNING: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: The solution allows the taxpayer to come in if a proceeding is instigated, but I guess my question to you is, do you feel comfortable that there are...that there will not be situations out there where the taxpayer may need to institute a proceeding?

SENATOR BRUNING: Senator, one of the reasons we were comfortable with this particular amendment is there are other avenues for a ratepayer to take. For example, Senator Chambers, who is a...a customer of the Metropolitan Utilities District, I assume, as are all citizens of Omaha, can go to the Metropolitan Utilities District Board, file a grievance with them, file a complaint with them. A citizen, such as Senator Redfield, who lives in Ralston, can file a grievance with the Ralston City Council to modify the franchise if she believes that the provider in that area is not serving the public. And then, of course, there's always courts. The particular proceeding we're talking about here is simply the Public Service Commission grievance procedure that we outlined in the bill, so an aggrieved ratepayer could...could take their complaint to court if they believe that either one of these entities was behaving improperly.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, so you...so, in short, you can't perceive of any factual situation where they...where a ratepayer would have a legitimate interest in instigating a process here. Is that accurate?

SENATOR BRUNING: Correct.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Senator Kristensen.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Bruning, you're recognized to close