

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 22, 1999 LB 822

do any of that and these, along with the herbicides, for which they have some federal money as I understand it, most of their budget is federal, frankly, these things along with the herbicides are the things that we need to get a handle on so that we don't have any traumatic experiences down the line with the management of them. So...

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Okay. Well, thank you, I'm...I really don't know where to go on this. I'm not saying that we probably don't need some of this. I am concerned that perhaps either it's too broad or too narrow. I guess it catches me as a surprise, which bothers me, as to which way the real right answer is to do this. The hundred...it would seem to me that this...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: ...if it's critical, it should have been done by DEQ in the past without...I mean to monitor these kind of things, so I...I'm really at a loss to know exactly which way to go in terms of this particular amendment on this particular bill. I do think we may well need a baseline down the road. I am concerned that storm water runoff off of city streets may be just as likely to be a contaminant as the automatic assumption that it's agriculture doing much of the contamination. I look at the map that Senator Schimek apparently handed out on manure production across the country, and the only way it really means a lot to me is to see what it was 100 years ago when it was all buffalo. I mean I just wonder, and I see in that small note, it says it doesn't take into account plant uptake, for example. It seems like we (inaudible)...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: ...enough crops that there is a lot of uptake. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. (Visitors introduced.) Senator Schrock, on the Beutler amendment to LB 822.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, Senator Beutler and I had this conversation before he offered