to have information, to have monitoring sites on your streams and taking samples on a regular basis in your streams, and taking samples and having them analyzed for all of the things that are important in terms of what kinds of pollutants that you need to keep under control. And this last amendment that Senator Schrock has added on, which, basically, I think is the right thing to do, it says that, yes, we're going to allow any size operation, but we're going to watch and see what happens to the stream. If the stream can take the load, if the land area can take the load, then no problem. But if the land area cannot take the load, then we may want to adjust. But the question is, how do we know what's happening to the stream? And you might be interested in knowing that if we're lucky any one stream might be regulated once in every five years but certainly would not be...not regulated, monitored once in five years but certainly would not be monitored more often than that under the standard program for stream monitoring that we have going today. So what this amendment does, it adds $150,000 to the surface water monitoring program of DEQ so that certain very important things that they are not now regularly monitoring they can monitor. And these are things that we're going to need to know long term because we're sending loads of pollutants down to the gulf, as you know, that's having an effect on aquatic life in the gulf area. And so these types of information is what ultimately we're going to have to know in order...and where these pollutants are coming from within our stream system, we're going to have to know these things so that we know and can isolate our problems and can identify where they're coming from. Long term, I think that's going to be very cheap and less traumatic for us to know where things are coming from. So DEQ identified for me those items that are important as far as nonpoint source pollution is concerned that they are not now currently monitoring, and these are the items identified in this particular amendment. And all the amendment says is, from this point on, we will spend a little money to monitor and to know what's in our streams, including the trout streams, and whether they're doing all right, so we have some measurement of the health of our streams. Otherwise, we're kind of all shooting in the dark or operating on some complaint basis here and there, looking at some fish kill or event, disastrous event, of one type or another. But what we've started doing already and what this helps with a little bit is to have regular monitoring so we