

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 22, 1999 LB 822

industry is. But this would not damage a feedlot's potential if they want to expand. And, let's say, a son or two wants to join a father in operation and they have a feedlot and want to get into cattle feeding in a bigger way, if DEQ is satisfied that their plans won't further damage a stream, then they could approve that permit. But I certainly think it puts DEQ on a much higher level of alert than it would in another area of the state that does not have one of these class A cold water streams.

SENATOR C. PETERSON: Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Peterson. Senator Schmitt on the Schrock amendment.

SENATOR SCHMITT: Yes, Mr. President. I have a question for Senator Schrock on his amendment.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Schrock, will you respond?

SENATOR SCHMITT: Yes, Senator Schrock, if your amendment is adopted, is this going to take some of the control away from the counties on their zoning, their local control?

SENATOR SCHROCK: To my knowledge, it would not, Senator Schmitt, and keep in mind, if this amendment is adopted, it will still be...you still will not be able to have any livestock facility...you cannot start a new facility any larger than a class I.

SENATOR SCHMITT: The only reason I asked that question is because DEQ was mentioned again, and I just wondered if we was going to give the authority to DEQ and take it away from the counties, and if they were, I wasn't going to support that. So that's the reason I asked that question, and I would want to be sure before I vote on it.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Schmitt. Senator Beutler, the Schrock amendment to LB 822. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Schrock, I just wanted to make a couple...ask a couple of questions and be sure I'm right on one