

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 22, 1999 LB 822

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR SCHROCK: ...but we've never asked them to take into consideration environmental sensitive areas of the state, and so I think that's in LB 822 is what we're intending to do, and so, you know, as we...as we proceed, we need to recognize that the watershed where these pristine streams are probably are a little more sensitive to environmental issues, and then...and, you know, you raise a good question. I would acknowledge that, but I think we have identified these areas in the state. They're on the map on the north wall, and I believe that certain types of activities in that area of the state should be more carefully scrutinized, and that is what we're doing in LB 822. I think you're...I think you're right, you're right on target when you say that, and it probably is a change in the policy of our state in the past.

SENATOR BROMM: Under the...is my time about up?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time is up, Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BROMM: Okay.

SENATOR CUDABACK: (Visitors introduced.) Senator Beutler, on the Schrock amendment.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Cudaback, members of the Legislature, Senator Bromm, just to explain my objective in working with that particular amendment, and this is language that has come back from the livestock lobby because they were uncomfortable with some of the more direct language that I had used, but I think that we were all in agreement on one point, which was...which is intended to be the point of the amendment which I think it does address, and that is that whatever zoning authority the counties had before this bill was put into place, they would continue to have after this bill is passed, no more, no less, so that we weren't taking away any prerogatives from them except to the extent...and at the same time we weren't adding any prerogatives. The language of the bill is direct in one sense, and is zoning I suppose in one sense in that we're saying no class II, III, or IV livestock facilities shall be there, but