

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 22, 1999 LB 712, 822

SENATOR SCHMITT: Yes, Mr. Lieutenant Governor, I rise in support of the Schimek amendment. However, I do have a few reservations about it, the same as Senator Schrock has. And I and Senator Schrock, Senator Cudaback, Senator Schellpeper, I think Senator Raikes, there's been several people that have discussed this quite thoroughly. And, if you remember last year with the interim zoning bill that I had, I and Senator Schellpeper were on totally opposite sides of this. But, because of what happened and because of the working dates and whatever, we had reached an agreement on this with the exception of the dates. And, as Senator Schimek said, the original date was March of this year. That's already passed. The next date was June 1 of this year. That will be probably passed by the time we adjourn. So, in discussing this with other people, the concerns, the organizations, the concerns of it, I don't have a problem if we go with January 1 of 2000, June 1 of 2000, or if they split the difference in-between. I am going to support that. I do think we need to go back at least to January 1 of 2000 where it will give these people the opportunity. But I agree with Senator Schrock, we do need a date on there because there has been plenty of debate on this, there has been plenty of news media coverage on this. The counties that really want to zone can be up and have their comprehensive plan in place or started in six months, I believe. So I do support Senator Schimek's amendment, but I also am not opposed to a date change as long as it is January 1, 2000, or later. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Schmitt. Mr. Clerk, I understand you have an amendment on the desk.

CLERK: Mr. President, I do. Senator Kremer would move to amend Senator Schimek's amendment. (FA110, Legislative Journal page 1619.)

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Kremer, you're recognized to open on your amendment.

SENATOR KREMER: Thank you. Members of the body, this bill we spent a lot of time in committee on. LB 712 was the number. There were those that didn't think we should provide interim zoning for anyone. We felt like those that were really serious about zoning that had already started or made some movement