

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

April 22, 1999 LB 835

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Senator Cudaback, and I'll accept your four and a half minutes. Members of the Legislature, the only reason I'm saying the kind of things that I'm saying is because it needs to be clear that we understand that the passage of this bill is not going to solve the major problems facing agriculture. Other countries will look at what they're doing, with reference to agriculture, from a national perspective. So let's say you have countries A, B, and C, Argentina, Brazil, and say Canada, and they have a national policy by which they deal with the international market. Maybe they do and maybe they don't, but for the sake of my example let's say they do. Then you have the United States, which is fractured into various interests within agriculture, and there is no national policy as such. You cannot have a disorganized or disparate group battling among themselves, expecting to compete against well-oiled, well-organized national interests coming from other parts of the world. So if the government of the United States decides that there is going to be a national policy, that policy is not going to deal with some mystical entity known as the national interest which is composed of the public at large. That policy is going to favor the big people who are involved in that industry. So there will be packers, there will be processors, there will be shippers. There will be that kind of integration which runs from the first seed put into the ground, right to the final sale at the supermarket. And some may carry it back beyond putting the seed in the ground, to controlling the seed end of it and the fertilizer end of it, and be able to go from the very beginning, all the way to the very end. The ones who complain about that primarily now are the relatively small players. And I say relatively, because they might have thousands of acres. And to somebody from the city, that is mind-boggling. But in the overall scheme of things, when you look at the level of scale that is necessary to make it in agriculture, that's not going to be considered big. So they ought to have their...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...interests looked after, as long as their existence of assistance and plays into the overall program of those who ultimately are going to dominate all of agriculture. If every family farm ceases to exist, that doesn't mean food is