

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 22, 1999 LB 835

of movement at the federal...movement at the federal level or whatever happens. So it's in the intent of having a compromise here that is realistic and still permissible to the sponsor of the bill. I, as in the way of many compromises, it isn't perfect for everyone, but hopefully it represents something that is realistic and still keeps the pressure on the federal level, because I, too, the last few days, have become quite strongly to feel that we need to keep the pressure on, not only from the state of Nebraska, but to have those packers have a reason to work at the federal level too. They need to have a reason to get working on the federal reporting based on reactions here in different states because they're going to have different proposals come from different states. And it will be in their best interest to have something nationally that they can...that they can work through there and still be uniform across the United States. So this is an effort to make it, hopefully, a little more realistic and still be just as doable as it would under Cap's original bill.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. For discussion...excuse me, for discussion on the Wehrbein amendment to LB 835, Senator Raikes.

SENATOR RAIKES: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Legislature. Well, I support the Wehrbein amendment. I, to finish the comments I made or started on earlier regarding the use of information technology on this issue, I would point out a couple of things. Part of my proposal here is...or, in part, my proposal is based on my own experiences in trying to work in this industry. One of the difficulties I have is simply getting access to certain buyers. I am a seller and the access objective to me is very important. There are certain packers that...that don't regard my produce as important enough to seek my business, so this I think is very important from that standpoint. A web page or any other kind of information technology transfer mechanism I think would greatly help in this problem. I would mention that certainly this proposal of using information technology is not offered as a concession on the behalf of meat packers. They probably like this idea much less than the original bill, which concerns me somewhat because I do think, in order to make progress here, it's going to be very important to offer gains to both sides of the market. Both