

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 21, 1999 LB 605

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...that has always been in the law.

SENATOR SCHROCK: I think your idea has merit, but I will tell you that Farm Bureau and the corn growers who we have worked with had an interest in totally eliminating the checkoff. That was certainly unacceptable to those of us who wanted to see ethanol expansion in the state. I can't disagree with you that a deal was made. Considering the farm economy, and this quarter of a cent's not a big deal to me, personally, but considering the farm economy we thought that maybe we could help out a little bit by reducing the checkoff for one year. Quite frankly, if you have the 25 votes to pass your amendment I don't have a big problem with that. We could get lobbied from the...from the farm organizations because they wanted to seek some kind of a reduction considering the way the farm economy is at this time. But I agree with you, a deal was a deal and if this is real important to you and important to the body, that means there will just be that much more money there at the end. I will tell you that the money we have projected in this will fund the facilities that we have on the horizon at the present time without that extra \$2 million. So I will vote against this, but I understand what you're saying and your idea here has merit, but this was kind of a compromise we worked out. And I can understand you saying that, well, look, if you aren't going to live up to your end of the bargain then why should the General Fund money go there. I understand that totally so...so you're not on...you're not on the wrong track, you're very correct in what you're saying. I'm not going to support it but it might make it a little more difficult for us to advance the bill at a later point in time or even today. It seemed like it was important to those in the ag industry that we try and reduce the corn checkoff because it is a burden to the agriculture community, but I would tell you that a quarter of a cent I don't is that big of a burden. So whatever the body decides, I'm still going to support the bill, but I will vote against your amendment, but I understand what you're saying and you are correct in that...in that assumption, or it's not an assumption, in what...this is kind of breaking with the original deal. So I hope that's understandable and I hope you understand why I'm not voting for your amendment, but if you've got 25 votes I'll still support the bill. May lose a little support, but I can live with that.