

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

April 21, 1999      LB 605

appropriate expression of public policy in this area. Absolutely nothing wrong with the approach that the committee brought to you and I will support that approach. It is absolutely valid as an approach to this issue and, frankly, I view it as one where we're going to create a demand for ethanol with a demand pull because we would give people an incentive to ask for ethanol at the pump and, because they ask for ethanol at the pump, somebody would be asked to produce that and somebody would be asked to produce the grain that would be used to produce the ethanol, presumably benefitting the grain producers, benefitting the ethanol producers if they're efficient in their operations, and benefitting the consumers because they would have a cheaper product at the pump. I think that is perfectly rational policy and really can't suggest to you that that isn't a policy that you ought to adopt. Now, as I understand it, other people want to produce a different kind of a policy model and say that we're going to produce so much ethanol that it will get so cheap that everybody will benefit. I don't know what evidence there is that that occurs or that, if that occurs, that the producers of grain benefit in any substantial way with an increase in the price of their product. But if we are to create demand pull then the committee amendments represent a perfectly valid policy choice. If you want, as I understand it, if you want to try to commit a...produce a demand push then perhaps what Senator Stuhr is promoting is appropriate. It has always been a little bit difficult for me to say that we ought to promote something that is arguably inefficient and isn't paying for itself, so we give it credits until it can pay for itself when it begins to look like a mature industry, and I'm not sure at what point you stop putting public dollars into an effort to "incent" something that may not have real economics behind it. It may have other policy issues behind it, such as clean air that I think Senator Beutler is interested in and that I think we're all interested in. It may have other policy ramifications. But, as a matter of pure economics, I think you have to ask yourself whether or not you've really done something that is appropriate in the marketplace. So I've, to dispel any confusion that may have been in your minds due to remarks that I made in the opening on the committee amendments, I do indeed absolutely plan to support and will support the committee amendments. They are an absolutely rational and appropriate response to the policy questions that we're confronted with, and