

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

April 21, 1999 LB 605

uncertainty in the ethanol industry. We've gone through a period of \$5 corn prices and now we're through a period where corn prices are less than...less than \$2 a bushel. That's quite a swing for anybody to handle. So we've decided to focus, and we hope the body will agree with us, on using the EPIC Fund for additional producer credits for the production of ethanol in the future. Now specifically, this would help Chief Ethanol, I believe, in Grand Island with their expansion. It would help with the location of a wheat gluten plant at Imperial, Nebraska, which may or may not locate there, depending on...depending on maybe if this legislation passes or not. It would help a plant in York, Nebraska, based on their original...on their expansion that they had here a couple years back and did not get credits for, provided they kept their production at a certain level. We have discussed ethanol mandates. I can tell you that I'm very ashamed of my farmers out there who grow corn and don't use ethanol. I don't know what to do about that. I have in the past and in the future would support a mandate that ethanol would be the only fuel sold in this state. It seems to work in Minnesota, but my perception is that there's not 25 votes on the legislative floor to do that, so I have not pursued that. We must realize that we are a small market when it comes to ethanol. There's only 1.6 million people in the state of Nebraska. There's more people in Kansas City, Missouri, than there is in the state of Nebraska, so if they would use ethanol in Kansas City to clean up their air that would have a bigger impact than mandating it in the state of Nebraska. So, from that standpoint there is good rationale for not having a mandate. It bothers me a lot that farmers who are producing corn won't use the product and they go down to the coffee shop and gripe about the price of corn. You talk about shooting yourself in the foot, they're doing it there. So we have come up with this compromise and that is to reward people who are expanding the production in ethanol, who are coming on with new production, and to help those people, especially the York plant who came on with new production but didn't get credit for it. This issue has been contentious. It is a complete shift from the direction that the Revenue Committee took, because the original bill gave it...used the EPIC money for a two-cent advantage at the pump. We didn't think it was appropriate to use farmers' corn checkoff dollars to subsidize the sale of gasoline in this state. We think the production of ethanol is