

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 21, 1999 LB 76

heavily. However, the amounts of money we're talking about are truly inconsequential in the totality of things as it relates to the issue, and they are inconsequential in relationship to the amounts of money which are involved, either with regard to capital case defenses or the effecting and executing of a capital sentence. These are both very expensive propositions, in the hundreds and hundreds of thousands of dollars, and that is not what this study will entail. This seems like a reasonable accommodation to keep faith with those members who are...who want to do their duty as it relates to the administration of the penalty and want to see that justice is done, but also want not to be seen as delaying before the results are obtained. I also particularly appreciate Senator Bromm indicating his concern that it be a thorough and complete study that provides us with more than just statistics, because in fact, if you track through the cases, that is exactly...that is exactly the problem. We need to aggregate the facts. We need to be able to evaluate what would be considered mitigating and aggravating circumstances and to truly understand the similarity or dissimilarity of the facts and circumstances of each of these cases in order to satisfy the concept of proportionality. So again, I stand in support of the Bromm amendment, urge its adoption as being fair and reasonable and ask that you vote similarly. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Brashear. Senator Chambers, on the Bromm amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, Senator Tyson, during his rambling, did mention something that is worthy of note, that's that in England they had convened a Royal Commission on capital punishment, and a report was prepared. There was a select committee of Parliament that dealt with capital punishment. So there are documents of a very formal and official kind generated by other governments which can help give some guidance to the nature of report that ought to be prepared, so that should the Supreme Court decide, as the statute allows, to take note of the report that is prepared, it will be a quality report. I am very pleased that Senator Bromm and Senator Brashear are looking for something much more substantial than statistics. As far as shortening the period of time, had Senator Bromm simply wanted to knock a year off the