

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 20, 1999 LB 76

agree with him in this particular case, how many times do we quote him when we don't agree with him? So, with that, I will yield the rest of my time to my good friend and colleague, Senator Brashear.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Brashear.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Mr. President, members of the body, thank you, Senator Engel, and I assume then I have this time to try and still convince you. And what I'd like to point out is that your...you...and, correctly so, your focusing on ten people got the death penalty, that was the penalty for what...for the crime they committed. I'm trying to focus on the 155, and we're talking about what the statutes call proportionality, and I would like to use the time you've graciously yielded me to point out that in Furman, in Furman v. Georgia, in 1972, it was this exact same kind of disparate...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: ...treatment that resulted in the death penalty being held unconstitutional as it was then be applied throughout the whole United States. I think we're headed back that direction. I'd yield the remainder of my time back to you.

SENATOR ENGEL: Thank you, Senator Brashear, and I'll be very quick about this. I would support life without parole if it guaranteed that there would be never a chance of parole, it would be at hard labor, and they'd be reminded every day of their life exactly what they did to those victims. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Engel. For further discussion, Senator Suttle.

SENATOR SUTTLE: Question.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: There having been sufficient debate, do I see five hands? I see five hands. The question is, shall debate cease? Those in favor vote aye, those opposed nay. Please record.

CLERK: 24 ayes, 4 nays to cease debate, Mr. President.