

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 20, 1999 LB 76

SENATOR BRUNING: And sometimes the death penalty, Senator Chambers, I agree, the argument is more of a basic instinct argument, if you want to call it a caveman argument, but I think there's some validity to that. Society needs a sense of justice. For people to live in a society and feel good about their society, many of us aren't comfortable with the thought that Roger Bjorklunds, who could commit those type of crimes, are still on this earth. They don't deserve to be on the earth. They deserve to make their peace with God and go on to their next life, but they don't deserve to be on this earth anymore. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Bruning. Senator Brashear, for discussion on the Chambers amendment.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Mr. President, members of the body, Senator Bruning, I want to respectfully suggest that, yes, people do need a sense of justice. Those were your words. And I think it is...I respectfully suggest I think it is superficial to say when we are applying the death penalty in such a few related to the totality of number of cases and in such an inscrutable manner, when you cannot figure out why. And when this body has said that it, as the legislative body for the people of the state of Nebraska, wants to ensure fair and equal treatment in accordance with the constitution, I think it ought to be more than words. I think it ought to be acts. We must come face to face, I know it's not the usual political rhetoric, but we must come face to face with the responsibility to show the people the way to justice. Soon after this bill was reported I began to get a call, or I got a number of calls, and I will tell you that I thought it...I felt sorry for one of the people who called me, who could not hurl enough invective, who could not talk enough in the most grotesque terms about the revenge that we should take upon these people. But if we're...if we're going to imply that 10 out of 165 without any analysis of the facts is justice, if we're going to let the radio talk shows set the tone, if we're going to talk about revenge being an appropriate motivation for the imposition of the death penalty rather than a requirement of the ultimate price for the ultimate crime, then we are not leading, we are not educating, we are not informing, and I suggest we are not doing justice. The reason that I have been going through these cases is because I cannot justify to