

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 20, 1999 LB 76

Attorney General was asked some questions by the Speaker. Rather than simply respond to the Speaker, the Attorney General, in wanting to get his views spread on the public record, indicated that, even though an opinion was not sought, he was going to answer these questions anyway. And since they dealt with legislation pending before the Legislature, he would provide the Clerk a copy with his letter so that it could be printed in the Journal. That's the way the Attorney General operates. He had suggested that, if that provision was enacted into law, there would be a host of appeals with inmates on death row, there were only a scant dozen, raising all kinds of appeals, clogging the court system, requiring the expenditure of money, and besides that nobody who was mentally retarded could get the death penalty anyway, because one of the mitigating circumstances dealt with a person's mental defect, if there was one. First of all, the Attorney General was absolutely wrong in terms of this so-called flood of appeals based on this provision of law. I had pointed out that the only person on death row that I felt would raise the issue was a man named Clarence Victor. No other inmate has raised the issue, no appeals have come as the Attorney General said, trying to frighten the Legislature into not passing a bill that would protect the mentally retarded. But then his allegation that somebody who was mentally retarded couldn't get the death penalty anyway was shown to be false when Simpson was in fact placed on death row. The article gives you background on that case. It points out that when this issue was raised, because the man was going to get a new trial, the judge determined that in fact this man was mentally retarded. His lawyer relied on the statute that I've just referred to. And based on that law and a plea agreement that his appeal would be dismissed, this man was taken off death row, the judge sentenced him to life. So what you're probably going to hear during the discussion of this moratorium is all kinds of scare material from the Attorney General's Office about the harm that will be done if Nebraska does not hasten to kill some people. What the moratorium language says is that every case that is capital in nature will continue to proceed in terms of all of the legal appeals, the papers that have to be filed, and that would occur as though no moratorium were in place. The way the moratorium comes into effect is by declaring that the court will not establish an execution date within three years. And I'll read a comment that Justice...former Justice of the