

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

April 20, 1999 LB 637

what my intentions are. And I say again, in view of the discussions that we had this morning, and most of it pursuant to a bracket motion that I was able to offer, I'm very glad that people in the law enforcement community saw a way to work with me. As Senator Schmitt and I talk about it, the sheriff and Jesse James came together again to salvage justice. I was able to participate. It was difficult for me yesterday not to engage in additional discussion of this bill after my bracket motion was defeated. In truth, the issue is so important that I never should have taken that position in the first place that if the bracket motion failed I would not do anything further on the bill. But, since I took that position, I felt I had to live up to it. That is that foolish consistency which, on occasion, occurs. But I guess, Senator Schimek, when you live right, your heart is pure, your thoughts are clean, then whatever powers that be will find a way to smooth the path for you to do what it is that you ought to do. And that's where I feel I am this morning. I hope...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...that we will be able to adopt Senator Bohlke's amendment. That having been done, nobody is in a position to sit back and just let things take their course, other than me, because I would like the bill to be only containing what Senator Bohlke's amendment does. But I do believe, if we adopt that, we will bring together some groups who, up to now, nobody has been able to bring together to work on this matter.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Further discussion? Senator Brown, followed by Beutler and Wehrbein.

SENATOR BROWN: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, the...my amendment, though it is buried in...in current obligations of...under our statutes, it's sort of interwoven there, it is not as explicit as this amendment. And this amendment, though it may be somewhat redundant, it will not be the first time that we have been redundant in state law, and especially because we are being redundant about something that is very important. And it's also very real, because I believe that the threat of sanctions and the threat of us losing the