amendment does. And so I would urge you to consider it very positively, and especially if you have any inclination to going the route that Senator Bohlke is suggesting, which I think is going to result in us being sanctioned. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Brown. For discussion on the Brown amendment to the Bohlke amendment to LB 637, Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, thank you very much, Mr. President. I would...I would like to point out a couple of things. I know Senator Brown and others have worked very hard to try to find solutions on this thing. But it's obvious to me that the floor, many of us, I think, feel that there is something missing here that we need to explore before we make a final decision on what route we're going to go, whether we're going to go the route of simply hoping that the federal government doesn't follow through on their threat and pursue a waiver, or whether we're going to bite the bullet and try to go the route of the bill. And I think that Senator Bohlke's amendment gives us a needed opportunity to have a little recess from what we're trying to accomplish here on the floor. We aren't going anywhere with much direction. And it also has, for Senator Brown's benefit, if the amendment is adopted, Senator Bohlke's amendment, and the bill advances, she's got a bill on Select File in position for whatever the body might decide to do with it. And I think that's a reasonable compromise. The bill gets advanced, but the body has a chance to explore the alternatives. And here's what I hope would happen. I would hope that if we would defeat the Brown amendment, adopt the Bohlke amendment, and those people who are extremely interested in this bill, and I know that's just about every one of us, but at least a significant number of us, plus HHS and the counties, county representatives can look at a system to see if we could actually comply with some of the things that Senator Janssen was pointing out and reading to us. We just have to have a linked local disbursement unit, which we have 50 counties linked now, as I understand it. We have to prove to secretary of HHS that it will neither be more costly, nor time consuming to operate that system. And I'm kind of optimistic we could maybe do that. The other key ingredient is there must be one, centralized collection system for wage withholding. Now, I don't think that's a rocket science