

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 20, 1999 LB 637

a system of collection and disbursement of child support. And so my amendment would require that the counties continue to do the collection and disbursement of support order payments, regardless of whether the counties will be receiving the federal reimbursement for the work that they are currently receiving. That if the waiver that we are applying for, that we're talking about applying for, that I believe that we cannot get because we already have done the waiver process and failed at it, we attempted that by virtue of contracting for a study with an organization that is nationally recognized for their work in cost-benefit analysis, whose presumption, in going into that study, was to find a way for us to get a waiver, and they couldn't. And so in order for us to get a waiver, the federal government has said that we would have to find another reputable organization that would come to a different conclusion in terms of the cost-benefit analysis, and then we would submit that to the federal government. We would be being sanctioned while this was going on. We would submit it to the federal government and they would probably look very askance at it, because we already have one reputable study that came to the conclusion that it was not cost effective to continue the system as it was, that it was more...especially when you have to have wage withholding go to a central location. But this amendment to the Bohlke amendment would require that we continue at the county level to do those things that we are currently doing to protect women and children, and to make sure that children receive child support. And so the information that I passed out, that shows the breakdown of what counties currently receive in assistance, that they would be receiving none of that money. Under 637 the clerks of the district court would receive one-third of the amount that's listed, the county attorneys would continue to receive the same amount. The counties would receive none of that money, and my amendment would require them to continue to do the work that they currently do in protecting the children who need support while we enter into what I consider is an absolutely useless and very expensive process that would just make us feel good, that we can do something to stand up to the federal government, the federal who has been so much a part of making sure that the people that we are receiving assistance for are getting it because of their partnership with us. I think it's a futile effort, but if we're going to enter into it, I'm still going to protect the children, and that's what this