

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 20, 1999 LB 637

going to do it here or not to everyone's satisfaction appears to be uncertain. But I do believe the feds are going to make good on their losses and we are going to be here gnashing our teeth next year as to where to make up, not only \$15 million in operating costs, so to speak, but perhaps something less than \$58 million, if not all of the \$58 million in TANF funds, which we are widely using around the state.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Time. Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. Senator Bohlke, on the motion to substitute.

SENATOR BOHLKE: Yes, Mr. President and members. If we could go back before Senator Wehrbein's questions to Senator Baker and explain that Senator Baker asked to have a motion to substitute. That would have been my floor amendment that I had filed earlier which states strike all provisions and amendments, and the Department of Health and Human Services shall immediately begin the process of applying for a waiver from the federal government with regard to required centralization of child support payments. Following that...I had withdrawn that earlier. As the morning went on, it seemed to me that that could be the vehicle, that we wouldn't need to bracket, but would provide the opportunity. Senator Janssen raised an interesting issue that he had found currently in state law that looks that Nebraska may have something in place that would put us in a stronger position to apply for that waiver. Because, as I understand, the rules, as there was an objection for the motion to substitute, we will have to vote on the motion to substitute. So, if you think this is a workable solution at this point in time, rather than a bracket, you would vote for the motion to substitute. If that fails, then I understand, I believe, that Senator Chambers indicated that he would refile a bracket motion. And so this is a vehicle put in place to allow people some time to discuss the application for the waiver, if it could incorporate the point that Senator Janssen made, did you say "time", Mr. President? No, I'm sorry, and allow us to look at...if there would be other things that we would need to incorporate in the application for the waiver. Senator Bromm had indicated that he would work with us, too, and I think a number of other people, in looking at this being a way to address the concerns and make sure, if we're going to be forced to make any changes, that we have an opportunity to say, why would we do this when we have a system