

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 19, 1999 LB 637

to get to a person. I'm afraid we're going to get away from that and, there again, what you're doing is...certainly I agree with. I'm just concerned about if it's going to work that well, and I guess we don't know until we get to that point. But I'm going to support your amendment simply because if we're in fact going to go this direction I think something we should have to take into consideration. With that, thank you very much.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Vrtiska. For discussion on the Beutler amendment, Senator Brown.

SENATOR BROWN: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I certainly agree with the rationale behind the Beutler amendment, but I do have a couple questions for Senator Beutler, if he would yield.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Beutler, would you yield to a question from Senator Brown?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes.

SENATOR BROWN: What...this...you expect this, like, two-minute turnaround during working hours. Is that not correct?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yeah, I don't think a clerk of the district court would expect to be called...you wouldn't reach them either in nonworking hours so, yes, this is basically working hours.

SENATOR BROWN: And so the people that...in the customer service that are included in the fiscal note should be sufficient to deal with the response that you're talking about.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator, I don't know that, whether there's sufficient or insufficient. I mean, it seems to me it would...they would be, but it...but I don't know that and I guess I would go by the amendment, and if they are sufficient, fine, and if they're not sufficient then they should be made sufficient. Because we're trying to reestablish a system that's as good for, or better, for the people involved than what we have.

SENATOR BROWN: Thank you. It is my understanding that the