

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 19, 1999 LB 637

motion to bracket the bill for several reasons. First of all, we've been hearing the number \$15 million in collection money that we'll lose if we don't enact this. The fiscal note on the bill itself says \$7 million, so we're really talking about \$8 million that's in jeopardy which, you know of course, is a significant amount of money but it's...the real number if more like \$8 million. My problems with the bill start that it seems like we started this task force that was...we had legislation that enabled a task force last year and we started out with the presumption that we had to comply and we really didn't think about the waiver that's obtainable from the federal government for this. The task force set about setting out guidelines as to whether or not we could obtain this waiver. The task force recommendations weren't really put into the study. My understanding is, is that if the...if the way we're doing it now is cheaper than the way the federal government says that we have to do it, then we can get this waiver. But the task force elements weren't included in the study so we really don't know whether or not we can do it cheaper than the federal government. The study cost about \$100,000 and didn't really include all the information that was necessary to make an informed decision, so I think the bracket motion would be a good idea and that maybe in the interim period here we can get an accurate study to determine whether or not we really can qualify for a waiver. If we can't qualify for a waiver, then I agree that we probably don't have a choice in regards to centralized child support. I would probably support the bill. But we need accurate information. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Bourne. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I believe, despite the times that I've sometimes disparaged my own intellectual ability by saying I'm from the shallow end of the gene pool in my community, I think I have ordinary intelligence at least. After listening to the testimony before the Judiciary Committee, after hearing those who tried to support this bill, I yet cannot make head or tail out of what this system is to actually produce. Right now my understanding is that the aim of the existing system is to get child support money that is to go to the parent, who is...who has the