

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 15, 1999 LB 126, 719

nervous party who's trying to make a sale will walk away. Undoubtedly, it is a burden and it's probably not commonly kept, probably not...this is probably a rule that's not very often honored. However, we're going to do away with this rule and turn that "shall" in to "may." So this tool we're going to throw away. Any other tool that we were looking for is going to be unavailable to us, and the reason is that LB 126, which the General Affairs Committee reported out with the provisions that the Liquor Control...the liquor industry demanded for youth accountability, came to this floor and the body stripped all of those liquor lobby amendments. If you'll remember, the committee amendments failed. Then Chairman Schellpeper said, well, I don't want to go forward with the provisions that were originally in my bill, his own bill, LB 126. I won't bring it back to the floor unless I can get the liquor lobby to agree with the folks, including some people that I supported, to get down and to work on the bill. So, we had a negotiating session in which one of the provisions that the...that was most unaccountable, that was most offensive to the liquor lobby was the fact that this kicked in at the second offense. An offer was made to make it a third offense. There were other provisions as well, but that had been identified as their chief and foremost objection. That objection was met and the objection was still not agreed to. Both the retail industry and the liquor industry said no to that offer. Where does that leave us? It leaves us with LB 126 which has no plans of being brought up which, according to the vote count I've seen and according to the votes of this Legislature with respect to the temporary cessation of the right to sell liquor, which has more than 25 votes, has no home and under LB 719 we will do less for controlling illegal sales of liquor than we do now. That'll be the proud record of this legislative session because, on consent calendar today, we'll give the liquor lobby what they want, on on LB 126 when there should be a straight up and down fight on those provisions, the bill has been pulled by an introducer and his requirement for that was the agreement of the liquor lobby when the liquor lobby was faced with an offer that met their chief objection, they still declined the offer. The retail industry did as well. I do not want to go forward with a session that does this. I ask us to suspend the rules. I ask us to adopt, although it's not germane, the terms of the provision with respect to the second and subsequent violation of