

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 15, 1999 LB 835

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Dierks. Senator Chambers, for discussion on the Bromm amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I would like to keep this section lean and mean. But since questions have been raised, I think if an amendment is necessary to raise the comfort level of the members, Senator Bromm's amendment can do that. By his including the five days, it takes away, as far as I'm concerned, any ambiguity. I would like to ask Senator Brashear, the Silver Fox, a question.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Brashear.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Yes, Mr. President.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Brashear, is there in your mind any ambiguity about the word "five?" (Humming theme song from "Jeopardy.")

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Well, let's see, I have five minutes, is that your time? Let me think about it.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes. (Laugh. Continues humming theme song from "Jeopardy.")

SENATOR BRASHEAR: I suppose, if I thought on it, I might come up with an ambiguity, but it doesn't immediately come to mind.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. So, the next question, would you say that the language of Senator Bromm's amendment does make it clear for anybody who's reading this to understand what is being prohibited by the language in Section 4?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Yes, I think it adds clarity,...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Are you going to...?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: ...particularly because he uses the conjunctive "and."

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Are you going to support this?