

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

April 15, 1999 LB 835

SENATOR BEUTLER: I would yield my time to Senator Chambers, if he chooses to use it.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I would like...oh, is Senator Brashear gone? Oh. I'd like to ask him a question or two.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Brashear, would you yield to a question from Senator Chambers?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Yes, Mr. President.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Brashear, having had you hang on me that title about this...did you say...?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: The punctilios grammarian?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I was going to say perfunctory, but you got, okay, right, that's what you said. I want you to read...I'm going to read for you this language in Section 4: It is unlawful for a packer to be engaged in the ownership, there is no period there, keeping, there is no period, or feeding, there is no period. I understand your point, but all of this is modified by the following language, "for the production." So it doesn't just say that the packer...okay, now do you agree with me on that, that it would prohibit the keeping, feeding and ownership for the production? Would you agree that's the full thought, Senator Brashear?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: You...you put in "and," Senator, and, no, I won't agree. It's "or."

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, "or," okay, say "or." Is that...is that what it says?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: I say "or." You say "and." I say "or."

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I...no, I say...I'm saying "or." Do you believe that if the word "and" were there all three of these