

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

April 15, 1999 LB 835

these things that I think have had a damaging effect on production agriculture, as been...as has been noted by what's happened to the prices of the products that we produce and put on the market. Again, I...I'm going to listen a little bit further to hear what Senator Brashear is attempting to do with LB...or with his AM1299 and see if, in fact, it does need to be put in this. At this point, I'm...I'm somewhat...I'm somewhat troubled because I'm not too sure that I am clear in what...how this would differ from what we already have, but, with that, I would turn the rest of my time back to the Chair and be ready to listen to further debate. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Vrtiska. Discussion on the Brashear amendment, Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Mr. President. We get into these issues in the Legislature. Unfortunately, there is...seems like there is never, never enough time. I support the concepts of LB 835. I will do what I can to assist in the passage of the law in a form that's workable and clear enough to be enforceable and, in that sense, following the discussion on General File, I had intended to ask Senator Dierks to sit down and discuss some areas that I thought perhaps could be strengthened or clarified and that time just has gotten away from us. But having said that, I have a couple questions, if Senator Brashear would yield to a couple of questions.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Brashear.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Yes, Mr. President.

SENATOR BROMM: I think perhaps through these questions, Senator Brashear, I can frame what I have in mind for a possible amendment. Is it your feeling that this legislation should enable a packer to do those things that are incidental and necessary to carry out his packing business, such as receiving the cattle or livestock, holding them temporarily until slaughter, slaughtering them, and of course then processing the meat from that point? Is it your...is it your object to retain that ability, the packer, without doing something here unintentionally to inhibit that?