

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 14, 1999 LB 791

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But when it comes to luring the public, playing on people's vulnerability, we say that's all right. That's different. Why? Because we do it and the people we want to respect do it. Maybe they can compartmentalize themselves, but I see them and their conduct in their life as a seamless web, and for those of you who've seen spider webs, you know that you can hit one end of...one edge of it, those circular webs, and it will transmit those vibrations all the way through the whole web. Well, these kind of things, these moral lapses send vibrations throughout the entire web of a person's character. And I think it is not a good thing. On this I am being judgmental because we chose to come to this Legislature and profess to be those who are going to make society better.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Time. (Visitors introduced.) The next speaker is Senator Bohlke, followed by Senators Brown, Janssen, Baker, Tyson, Connealy, Kristensen, and Jensen, and I would remind you we are on the Chambers amendment.

SENATOR BOHLKE: Madam President and members, I thank you for the reminder but it doesn't seem that that's been the general discussion so far, so I'll just continue to take advantage of what everyone else has been, say that I rise to talk about the impact, and it could be to the amendment also, of the bill. You remember last year we did LB 1228 and we offered incentives for schools who could do a number of things in the area of quality education. I just asked Senator Janssen if this could not put that at risk by reducing up to 40...up to possibly 40 percent of what goes to the education, and I think he very honestly said possibly, that they would hope that that would not happen, that by having increased numbers of players because you're improving the payout that those funds would not be at risk. And as he is prompting me now to say to you that they're declining now. However, I think the percentage that we're looking at, the possibility of such a large percentage certainly puts, what I would consider, a very...the probability of a negative impact on those programs that have gone from the lottery funds to support education, and certainly what we did last year with LB 1228. And so I am opposed to this. I don't know particularly opposed to the Chambers amendment because I haven't been listening to that discussion as much, but certainly opposed to the bill, and certainly wanted to remind the members here that last year, with