

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 14, 1999 LB 652

there was an attempt to strike those and now you're back to them again. Is that right?

SENATOR THOMPSON: I don't think there was an intentional effort to strike those. The next...when we get to the committee amendment, that is the issue and that is to transfer some of those costs to the state.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Well, the other thing that I've...I'm concern...that I have concern about, I guess I need to ask you about, and that is Geneva facility. What happens to Geneva's facility under all this whole process that you're working through right now?

SENATOR THOMPSON: Geneva is the facility for girls and it would receive a review under the facility plan. There's already been some preliminary work that's been done, but this would, in addition to having architectural study done, would provide funding that the department could use to upgrade the Geneva facility, and, you know, I kind of have trouble being on the legislative side and not wanting to be the executive side, but it would be my hope that they would build a new facility there, eliminate the use of the facility that we've all been talking about this morning, because I don't think that can be.... I...the reason they've been talking about renovations to that facility is because there's never been any money to do what really needs to be done, and that is we shouldn't even be using those facilities.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Well, this has been a longstanding issue as we all recognize and I was kind of curious how this was going to fit into the total picture. What you're really saying is there's just going to be a review and then hopefully some discussion later on to, in fact, move in that direction on those particular facilities? Those are on...when we get back to the green copy, that is a facility master plan that would be funded by a 2 cent increase in the cigarette tax.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Okay. Okay. So then what we're really dealing with, the balance of this, is going to be with the new construction, enlarging of the present facility. Is that correct, as you talked about earlier?