

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 13, 1999 LB 729

so I haven't abandoned my post. Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I was in deep conversation with Baron Tyson but I think Senator Wehrbein had mentioned that he was going to make a comment about which I might speak and I heard some of what he said. Senator Wehrbein, were you saying something about language that might be included in the opinion written by the courts that this...what did you say on that score, if anything?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: My...my thought, Senator Chambers, something that would say on the petition in clear plain lettering or something to the effect that this Supreme Court approval which is not...does not constitute approval or disapproval of this proposed petition, of the subject matter of this petition. A disclaimer, if you will, that it may be legally correct but it is not implied support or nonsupport. Something, though I haven't got those words exactly that would be appropriate, but something along that line because I am concerned about the points you made.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Senator Wehrbein, and the reason I see a difficulty with that, I thought that's what...I thought you had said maybe in the opinion it would be but it would be on the face of the petition. No agency of government should be involved in any way by reference on that petition, in my opinion. To give a disclaimer could be taken either way, that it means, you can take it that the court approves of it or that the court did not approve of it, but by virtue of something being on the face of the petition opens an issue that can legitimately be argued back and forth and people can give different interpretations of what that language which is to be a disclaimer would serve. I would not like to see anything on the face of the petition that relates to what might appear to be an interpretation of a court decision. If the public is aware of it, let them draw their conclusions about it like they would any other opinion delivered by the court which explains its decision. The decision is whether the court says yes or no. The opinion is the explanation that the court gives as to why it reached that decision. If the decision is that this thing can go on the ballot, I'm sure the people supporting that petition would use that and use it as an argument in favor of getting people to sign this petition. This is a political activity that they are engaging in. As Senator Quandahl said, and it has