

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 13, 1999 LB 729

being spent in Nebraska, \$13 million in the last campaign, and that's healthy. But I don't think that it serves us very well in the long run. I don't see any issue out there right now for this particular time around. I don't know if there's...has anybody else heard of any issue being circulated for the ballot next year? If...I don't see any and maybe the cost of that last one just did everybody in. Maybe people think it's going to be too difficult and too hard to get ballot issues done, so maybe...maybe we're not going to see as many of those. But I would suggest to you that this would make it better for the public in some ways because they wouldn't have to fear that they would go ahead and spend all that money only to have something determined to be unconstitutional. That was my reason for bringing the bill and that's why I would ask for your consideration of it. I do agree with Senator Chambers, it is a serious issue, and we do need people here listening to what the issue is. And I don't know exactly how to proceed here or not, but I want to take the opportunity to visit with Senator Chambers, if I can get somebody to talk for a few minutes on this bill. So, thank you.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Thank you. Senator Wehrbein, you've been issued a challenge and you have five minutes.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Thank you, Mr. President, members. I missed the challenge but I wanted to ask Senator Schimek a question. I was just thinking, I think there is some issues been raised here. I tend to support the concept of the bill and I think it's probably important and I hadn't paid much attention until it got here to the floor for debate. But it was running through my mind, Senator Schimek, and I don't know Senator Chambers may want to comment, but what would happen if there was something on the ballot once it had been tested by the Supreme Court that this opinion constitutes neither approval or disapproval, so that was one...that's one of the things I was concerned about that Senator Chambers raised that I can very easily see how, because I think the petition process has been...it had some problems with those that circulate petitions say this reduces your property tax, for example, without having even an understanding of what the bill, what the proposals do. But this wouldn't...that some way this would not imply that the Supreme Court had approved it because I think that could be, so I'm very