

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 13, 1999 LB 505

SENATOR BROWN: I yield my time to Senator Landis.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature. Thank you, Senator Brown. My guess is that we are ambling back from lunch, we're not moving with great rapidity here. I do think it's time to proceed at least if we can for a vote here sometime soon. I would suggest that we do it before the 2:30 meeting of the Committee on Committees. Let me make some points based on what I've been hearing from my colleagues. Let me start with Senator Wickersham, who said that, gosh, you can just expect people to do something that will save money. Well, I have two responses to that. Number one, how come everybody has not cut up their credit cards, if that's the case? (Laugh) They certainly should, it would save them a ton of money. But let's assume that people do save money. Okay, I'll buy that, Bob. On the other hand, you've identified two states right next to you where they already are well below us. And if the people are ready...if they are doing it, they already are doing it now, because a distinction exists between Nebraska and your neighbors, Wyoming and Colorado, as you've proven. If your logic is correct, we've lost that money, and whatever border bleeding has occurred has already been done. So there isn't any new border bleeding to be had, if your theory is right. Truth of the matter is that if you take a look at the consumption patterns, you won't find consumption losses in Arizona, you won't find them in Maine, you won't find them in Washington, D.C., you won't find them in Alaska, you won't find them in Canada. But if you follow the Wickersham theory, which is okay, it's just that it doesn't mean that you should vote against the bill, it means that the bill is irrelevant to that policy goal. Second, we had a thought by Senator Baker, and that is we can't regulate behavior by taxes and successfully. Well, doesn't work, you can't get there from here. Or, if you can do it, you shouldn't do it. It will be a shock, in that case, if anybody opens up a Roth IRA account, because the Roth IRA account is meant to change behavior, and it's meant to induce savings. And, guess what, millions of them have been opened up in the last year. Why? Because we changed the tax code to change behavior. After World War II, I'm not sure