

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 13, 1999 LB 505

Yes, it is. Not immediately. As a matter of fact, we're not going to get it till at least 2001 and maybe later, okay? So we're not going to get that money to do a youth program for awhile, but there's strings on that youth program. The federal money from that grant program cannot be used for certain kinds of negative smoking ads. It's part of the agreement. And you know what part they said that they couldn't use? They couldn't use the conduct of the tobacco industry in trying to hook young smokers. That's part of the tax settlement. Guess what the Florida system that Senator Hartnett just referred to was most effective at. Guess which, of all the ads that they used which affected teenagers, was successful at. The ads that said the tobacco companies are treating you like dupes; you are their dupes and they're playing you for suckers. Those ads worked and those are exactly the ads which are not permitted to be spent money for under the federal settlement. And so the only way, if you wanted to do that in this state, would be to fund it through something like this in these 24 cents. Now, let's take up some of the issues that people have talked about. Because am I wrong or has no body disputed the fact that if price goes up youth consumption goes down? We've been here for an hour and a half. Has anybody said if price goes up youth consumption will not go down? No, that hasn't happened. What we've had are other excuses. Gosh, there will be border bleeding. Now, look, there may be some border bleeding. I don't know, but I will tell you this, it's entirely possible that it's overestimated. Arizona recently went from 18 cents to 58 cents. Here's what happened. Higher tax on cigarettes done by a vote of the people. No indication that the decline in taxable cigarette sales, because they did have a reduction, what, price goes up, consumption goes down, resulted from a shift of sales to locations with lower taxes. No indication that it happened. No indication of the volume of cigarettes on Arizona's Indian reservations went up. In fact, they declined substantially. So Senator Wickersham's argument about the fear of reservations in this state didn't come true. No evidence of any substantial increase in smuggling or illegal tax evasion. Now, this is Arizona, bounded by other states who sell, rather than in Alaska which is, as you know, up there in the great North and surrounded by Canada, making smuggling more difficult. In other words, that case, uh-uh, border bleeding, smuggling, not a difficulty. Now, this is the Tobacco...