need to be on the same basis subject to tax, or how do we actually level out that playing field, as well as the issue of shifting the tax burden, which in another way might be said to use the revenue to defray the costs to help support something such as an airport authority to the extent then that you do not have to levy a property tax. I think Senator Smith's questions have brought up on the matrix there that we have significant issues that have to do with the airport authorities. The ag land, as I believe if I'm correct in how I'm interpreting this, is if it is not what the airport authority, what its purpose is for, then it's going to be, basically, taxed. Senator Wickersham, could I ask some questions of you for clarification?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Wickersham, would you yield for questions?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Yes.

SENATOR C. PETERSON: Thank you, and I know you've answered this several times. I just want to be very clear because it's my understanding from...from this is that probably what we're doing is the tax assessor will make some of these decisions. We'll have some bills come back to us. I guess my concern right now is...is looking at the interpretation. I'd like to make sure that we have this on record. It's my understanding with the discussions you've had with Senator Smith that ag land that is being leased and is going to be then taxed to the lessee if it is not in the direct fly path?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Okay, direct flight path.

SENATOR C. PETERSON: Or the clear zone, as they call it? If you have...if an airport authority has many acres and it's in ag land and it is being cash rented or whatever, then that will now be taxed to a lessee?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Okay, again, there are two questions to ask, one is the underlying use of the property by the governmental entity for a public purpose? You may be able to make an argument that the clear zone or, I think you said clear zone, is for a governmental purpose, I think you could make that argument. The use by the lessee, however, is not for a