Legislative Journal page 1396.)

SENATOR CUDABACK: Any objection to the withdrawal and substitute? Seeing none, Senator Wickersham.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Thank you, Mr. President. AM1204 is on your machines this morning. We did not hand it out. It is going to be a little bit difficult for you to follow because it is written to the committee amendments. I will tell you that it is the result of extensive discussions with one of the groups that has seemingly the most intense interest in this bill, and we think it is only technical in nature. We do not think that it changes the intent of the committee amendments as you see them, and we think it is consistent with the little checklist that we've handed out, and you can follow that through. But let me describe in perhaps as much detail as is necessary why you think...why we think these changes are necessary, why we think they're technical before we ask for your support. You can see that one of the changes is that in one place we're going to strike the words "necessary to carry out" and instead insert the word "for." There was a suggestion to us that the words "necessary to carry out" were more restrictive than the constitutional language, that governmental property only had to be held for and used for a public purpose. The language that was suggested in the committee amendment would say that it had to be used for a purpose that was necessary to carry out. So the distinction is between necessary to carry out and for a public purpose. There was a suggestion that that phrase, necessary to carry out, was more restrictive than the constitutional language, for a public purpose. So that's fine. We don't...we could not, obviously, go beyond the constitution. There is no reason to attempt to go beyond the constitutional language. The next change is the striking of the word "property" and the inserting of the word "Unleased...Unleased property", in exchange for that allows us in the next line to strike out a subsequent phrase, which is a negative, it's an exclusion, and we hope that the use of the word "unleased" is clearer than the use of the word "property" with a later phrasing that is not leased to an entity that is not exempt under Section 77-202. See the double negative. It is a bit difficult. We've eliminated the double negative and we think we've reached the same intent. The next portion of the