

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

April 9, 1999

LB 179

that does not understand that, I will be glad to talk with them about that. We have a unique situation here. We have a one-house Legislature, and yet, if you look at what we've been doing over the last several years, particularly this year, we pass bills for homeowners, we pass bills for bankers, we pass bills for the Bar Association, the Medical Association, the ag fertilizer and chemicals, the hog confinements, chamber of commerce. This list could go on, and on, and on, and on, yet who is helped by them? Not the general public, of course not. The only people that are helped are those agencies, those entities who...for whom we pass those bills. This is one bill that would help the general population, it would help those who have mental disabilities, because in this amendment mental disabilities would...this criteria would be equalized to include homeowners with mental disabilities, just as it does physical disabilities. I disagree with Senator Wickersham's fiscal impact on this bill. There aren't that many, many owners of homes with mental disabilities. Most of these people are too poor to even own a home; some do and some do not. Some live in group homes, some are wandering out in the streets. We're cutting them out of this bill simply because the fiscal note said something that I cannot believe is true, and I can't believe Senator Wickersham even believes that's true himself. I don't know what else to say, except that this is a discriminatory bill. And I see no reason why we cannot equalize that criteria in this...my amendment. I urge the body to...to please advance this amendment to be where we should be with homestead exemption. I'd be happy to answer any questions, if you (sic) could, at this time. Thank you.

**SPEAKER KRISTENSEN:** Senator Wickersham.

**SENATOR WICKERSHAM:** Mr. President, members of the body, I rise in obvious opposition to Senator Robak's amendment. This is a bill that was killed by the committee. And I think it was killed for a variety of reasons, if I can recall. One, it does have a very substantial fiscal note. Senator Robak says she doesn't agree with the fiscal note, and I agree there is some difference between just including a class of people that has mental impairment as opposed to a kind...physical impairment that meets the same criteria. But I would suggest to you that she says this is an antidiscrimination proposal. If you don't