

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 9, 1999

LB 179

helpful proteins are converted into the harmful ones that begin to destroy the neurons. But I fall into dementia, I begin to have memory lapse, lapses, I may not recognize people all the time, I may even become emotionally unstable, and then eventually I'm going to lose all of my mental capacity and go into that fog, and I'll never see out of it and nobody will ever see me come out of it again. The person who is in the last stages of Alzheimer's and the person who is totally incapacitated physically are suffering profound impairments, but they are not the same. They are not only substantially different, they are profoundly different. They are not the same in any respect, except that we would use a general term "impairment" to suggest that on the mental side the ability or capacity is gone. On the physical side the term "impairment" means the capacity or abilities are gone. Other than that, there is a great gulf fixed between the two. Philosophers, theologians, even Jesus made a marked difference between the body and the mind. What good does it do you to save the eye or the arm, if the entire body is cast into hell, or you lose your soul? If your eye therefore offends you, pluck it out. Save your soul. These things are different, that's what everybody teaches, virtually everybody. When Senator Robak brings us an amendment of this kind, the mere fact that some of the language in it mirrors language in a bill is not a reason to say that they're substantially different because to express the idea you use similar language. We are not writing bills in the same...

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...way that we would write a medical textbook, or a scientific work, or a journal. We are using ordinary English and, as a result, the terminology will overlap. But that overlapping in and of itself does not mean the two ideas are the same. It merely expresses the limitation of the language or our lack of facility in using language that clearly distinguishes one thing from another. In short, I am in favor of overruling the Chair on this particular one.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Robak, you're recognized to close.

SENATOR ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Chambers, for that remark that you made. I've been here many years, and I think this is