

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 9, 1999

LB 608

obtain an amount equal to his or her base pay. Therefore, the entire three-month period would have the person meeting the definition of being unemployed. I don't see anything in here that says you prorate this lump sum over the number of weeks that would allow the person to receive the equivalent of the full base salary for the week. It just says you prorate it over this period that is to be claimed, and you assign an amount to each of those weeks that...that would be assignable, based on the proration over this period. That's the way I see it.

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay, okay. So if I could...if I could ask you a question.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR RAIKES: If...if, for example, I was...I was fired and awarded, say I was working for \$500 a week and I was fired and I was giving...given a vacation settlement of \$100, you would not agree that this language says that, as far as...as my unemployment, that my vacation pay would only count for one day and then after that, given the week delay or whatever Senator Matzke described as the current procedure, I would be eligible for unemployment.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Would you turn your light on when we get...because I don't have another time to speak, and rather than start now, can I wait until we get a chance to be on your time?

SENATOR RAIKES: Certainly.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Matzke.

SENATOR MATZKE: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature. Senator Chambers, I'd like to respond to the issue that you're addressing here. You referred to the language on page 10, where it says, "shall be prorated in an amount reasonably attributable to each week claimed". I think the