

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

April 7, 1999

LB 496

prohibiting the ability of a telecommunications company to provide telecommunication service". Let's say that in a constricted urban area it turns out that either the only or the most efficacious placement of a tower, a telecommunications tower would be in a park. And could...could the city...

SENATOR BOHLKE: Time. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...could the city prohibit the building of that tower in the park, simply on the basis that they did not feel they wanted to give up park land? I mean, let's say there's nothing on that part of the park but some trees and bushes. There's no facility, there's no...maybe there's a picnic table that could be moved. There's no soccer field or...it's a portion of the park that frankly just has trees and bushes on it. Could...could they...could they prohibit a related facility because it interfered with the use of that portion of the park, even though that portion of the park was dedicated to shrubbery, for example?

SENATOR BROMM: Well, considering what parks are used for and what the purpose of parks are, certain kinds of facilities would, in my view, could destroy the...the environment of the park and the desire of people to use that public facility. And if it were based on that kind of a reasonable rationale, then I think they could. On the other hand, if...if there were...if there were two people that wanted to put facilities there and they wanted...and the...and the city chose to allow one of them to do that and denied the other without some very good rationale, I think they'd have a problem. So, I...I don't think they have an unfettered right to deny the consideration of using that part for that purpose, but certainly if it would interfere with the purpose of that public land and what it's used for, then I think they have that right to deny that.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. And let me ask you one last question then. A telecommunications company could also be a public company, under the definition.

SENATOR BROMM: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: And I don't know that the old definition of