

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 7, 1999

LB 496

continue engaging in this discussion for a bit. Senator Beutler, does that...does that help, or were you thinking highways meant...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well, my...

SENATOR BROMM: ...narrower than all public right of ways?

SENATOR BEUTLER: My mind was trying to flash through different possible situations. Let's say...let's approach it from a different angle and...and get back to this point, I think. Let's say we're dealing with park land, and let's say that...let's say it's not a cable line, but let's say it's a tower. Now, maybe I have to back up here and ask you, under the definition of telecommunications, which seems incredibly broad to me, telecommunications means the transmission between points of information without a change in form of content, which seems to me like it might even include Federal Express. But, I assume "tele" has some meaning in this context. But would it not include a telecommunications tower? Would that be a related facility that would be included in this bill?

SENATOR BROMM: Yes, I believe it would.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay.

SENATOR BROMM: And in a park situation I understand where you're going with that, and I don't think that subsection (3), of 86-301, would apply to that situation. That is...that is pertaining to the highway right of way within a municipality. And so the construction of facilities in a park or a park facility that's maybe owned by the federal government or by Game and Parks or somebody...

SENATOR BEUTLER: The city.

SENATOR BROMM: ...would...would be...would not be covered by that. And so I think that would be under the general first subsection.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Does...does that mean that the protection in that situation is less than the protection afforded in a highway