

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 7, 1999

LB 496

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: ...of where I think the law is right now, and what this bill would do.

SENATOR TYSON: Senator Kristensen, thank you very much.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Thank you.

SENATOR BOHLKE: Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Madam President. I wanted to comment on Senator Kristensen's response to Senator Tyson on a particular point. I don't know if Senator Tyson is still in the Chamber, but I would like him to hear this and see if it triggers another question or not. The question that I...that...that perked up my ears was the question about whether or not...whether or not the city could designate what street or streets that...that "telecom" lines or digging might be able to occur on. And, if I heard the response, it was that the city maybe couldn't designate what street, but they could designate where on the street that the digging would occur. I may have misunderstood that. I wanted to clarify something, because we authored the committee amendment, and the committee amendment replaces the bill in that respect. The bill says that that consent must be based upon a lawful exercise of the police power and must not be unreasonably withheld. We took that language out. And the committee amendment says a consent shall be based upon a lawful exercise of the municipality's statutory and constitutional authority, and shall not be unreasonably withheld. It is our view that that is a...that that is a broader standard for the city than absolute police power standard. So, as long as the...as long as the city's decision on where the "telecom" lines should go is based upon something that is reasonable and something that is related to their statutory authority to regulate the streets and the environment of the city, they...and applies it to everyone in the same manner, it would be my view that under the committee amendment the city would have some discretion on where they allow digging and lines to go on what streets, for example. And so I wanted to for sure get up and talk about that, because the committee amendment language is different than the green copy in that regard. And, Senator Tyson, if that either puzzles you or