

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

April 6, 1999

LB 78

and extension, and whether it's in the public interest. And you had indicated, quickly, that there was a five-factor test, and that test, basically, is encompassed by Section 4 of the bill. Just for purposes of having a better understanding of what is being contemplated by each of these particular items, I wanted to just quickly ask you some of the things that might be envisioned here, so I would know, for Select File, what was intended. But the five factors that are indicated, the first factor that's indicated is the economic feasibility of the extension or enlargement. Now, what...on the one hand, economic feasibility seems to be a fairly plain term. On the other hand, I'm not quite sure I understand what is intended in this context. In other words, first of all, this decision is going to be made by the Public Service Commission, is it not?

SENATOR BRUNING: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. When the Public Service Commission looks at economic feasibility, are they going...does that mean...will the company still have a profit if they do it? Does it mean they'll still have a profit that's about the same size as all their other profits? Does it mean they can do it without a profit, so long as they're not undercutting or doing it for less than cost? Or does it mean something completely different from all of those? Could you just talk a little bit about what economic feasibility is intended to mean?

SENATOR BRUNING: Certainly, Senator. I think...

SENATOR BEUTLER: I mean it might have a different context, I assume, depending on whether you're talking about a for-profit corporation or a public utility district.

SENATOR BRUNING: Yes. Senator, I think all those things you mentioned are factors that should be considered. And a fourth factor, perhaps, in addition to the other three you mentioned, is when you look at the expenditure of resources, is it a useful expenditure of resources to add a second pipe next to the first pipe? Why would the public interest be served by adding a second pipe? Not necessarily does one company or another company make money so much as is that an efficient use of resources? And the telephone industry is something I know