

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 1, 1999

LB 781

I am support...in support of the committee (sic) amendment. I think this is an appropriate change in the law. I think it's probably a little glitch that we didn't even realize existed and might not have seen the need for years ago, but I think, under the present day and age we are, we have to be cognizant of these type of problems, and I don't want people to be less inclined to stop and provide help for some reason such as this. So that constitutes my opening, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Mr. Clerk, an amendment on the desk.

ASSISTANT CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Bromm would move to amend. His amendment's found on page 1301. (AM1103.)

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Bromm, you're recognized to open on your amendment.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and I said erroneously that it was a committee amendment, but it was discussed with the committee and I prepared and filed the amendment. The amendment provides basically that the person who is requesting the information or the diagnostic test shall be responsible for the costs. Now, that's...that seems to be appropriate. When it is the...when it is the emergency services provider presently that requests the test, they or their employer provides the cost, and so in this case, to be consistent with that, if a person wants a test that's where the cost incidence would lie with this amendment. If there are any questions, I'll be glad to try to respond.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes, Mr. President, members, maybe I ask Senator Bromm a question or two. Is not...are not we, as the public in general, required to stop and give aid to the extent that we're able? Is that...is that accurate?

SENATOR BROMM: I think...I don't know that that's exactly right. I think that if...I think if you do clearly observe that someone is in need of help and you ignore that or choose to ignore that there could be some responsibility on your part, but I honestly don't know of a provision in the statutes that