

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 31, 1999 LB 585

occur other than on the interstate. If people live in a town and they think people are driving too fast, get the council to ask the state to let them do something about that. But to just, across the board, for no rational reason raise the fines to this extent is not acceptable to me. This bill to which the amendment we're considering, not mine but the committee amendment would be attached, deals with something entirely different, the purpose of it is different. Large amounts of federal money, from what we've been told, are at stake. There is no reason to encumber the bill with an amendment of the kind that this last division, I think it's the last division, of the committee amendments would attempt. Senator Bromm should consider himself lucky...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...because in the little legislative bag that he's got thrown over his shoulder is the most consequential part of the committee amendment, because it goes directly to what his original bill deals with. So, what he is running the risk of doing now is cutting a hole in the bottom of that bag, and losing what he's got in the bag, and wind up losing the bag also, and he'll go home with nothing. And he'll have a chance to think of how he might have done differently or, as people always say, no sadder words from mouth or pen than these few words, what might have been. And he'll have all the interim to think about that, 585 could have been law; 585 should have been law; 585 might have been law, but I did not behave as a prudent person should behave; I rolled the dice and they came up craps.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Time. Senator Coordsen.

SENATOR COORDSEN: I get one more time, don't I, Madam President. Thank you. To continue, by the way, unless something has happened that I'm not aware of, whether you're driving with the flow of traffic or not, whatever the individual motorist might assume that to be, I believe it's still illegal to travel more than what the posted speed limit for that particular street or road is, and that there is in fact no provision in state law for a fudge factor, which many motorists seem to exist. Back to the topic. And as far as I'm concerned, if the body wishes to adopt the Chambers' amendment, that's