

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 31, 1999 LB 585

highways, whether you're in a bus, a camper, on a tractor, or in a chariot, it doesn't matter. It is illegal and we're not changing that. If we left the exemption in there, we would simply be allowing these contract carriers, that's using the term loosely, but contract carriers to have open alcohol containers in the vehicle. What's the need for that, if they're not allowed to consume anyway? I don't know, unless they have no other way to transport it to the destination where it ultimately should be used. However, I think...I think they can find other ways to transport it, other than in the passenger compartment. There are large bays of cargo-carrying places on most of these vehicles, they can place it there. I don't think they have to go so far as to tie it behind the vehicle, you know. And they also have the cargo storage areas on top, sometimes behind the vehicle. So I think they can...if a contra...if a...if the Greyhound Bus Company comes to me all upset about this, I'm going to ask them, well where have you been legally consuming alcohol on public highway anyway? So, I think in view of that and consistency and in respect to Senator Dwite Pedersen, Senator Dwite Pedersen did raise this question in committee, and we did have a couple of discussions about it. And, you know, perhaps we should have taken more time with it, at that point. But we are taking the time now. I think the amendment has...to me it's easier for me, from a fairness standpoint, from a legal standpoint to support the amendment, certainly, than it is to oppose it. And so I will support the amendment, I hope the body does as well. And I hope that... I don't think Senator Chambers is doing this, and I know Dwite, Senator Dwite Pedersen is not, I hope that those who are supporting this are not simply laying a trap for the entire bill and saying, well this will kill the bill if we adopt this amendment, and this will allow me to vote against it. You know that would be very...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Less than one minute.

SENATOR BROMM: ...that would be very inconsistent, and I don't think that would be fair, because I would not want you to adopt this amendment with the idea that this would give you a reason to oppose the bill. In the final analysis, if you adopt this amendment, you should do it because it's the right thing to do. I think it is probably the right thing to do. So I will support