

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 31, 1999 LB 585

SENATOR BROMM: Well, that'd be fine.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So, I'm saying there is really no essential difference, though, is there? The driver in the rented vehicle could consume the liquor,...

SENATOR BROMM: Well there...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...and the driver in the private vehicle may not consume the liquor. Isn't that true?

SENATOR BROMM: Yeah, that's true. Unfortunately, there aren't a lot of people like yourself. I mean you're the exception rather than the norm. And so...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Could we say the exception rather than the rule, instead of the "norm"? (Laughter)

SENATOR BROMM: Oh, okay...I...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Because they're abnormal, they're abnormal. (Laugh)

SENATOR BROMM: ...I...I said what I meant. (Laugh)

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Touche'!

SENATOR BROMM: And, but in any event, my...my feeling was, and I can't speak for the committee, but I think that perhaps there was some feeling that removing those exemptions would create a great deal more baggage, if you will, on the bill. And I don't know if that's the case. But I, personally, don't have any strong feelings about it. There might be some.... You know the...the mobile homes and that sort of thing where people go on vacations, or they go on a trip. I would be a little concerned about how they would transport.... I mean, I guess, they just couldn't have the seal broken. They could still transport, if they wanted to, a case of beer, for example, as long as it wasn't opened, they still could do that. I was trying to think through the problems. You have trunks in cars, very secure, locked area, away from the passenger compartment. And you don't