

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 31, 1999 LB 585

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. And now I will comment further on that. Senator Redfield's comments brings us to the situation where we're looking at a domestic context and let's say the wife is the one who has not been the violator and her husband has. She should not have to go and call the police on him, if he uses the car. It could create a far worse situation than the one created by him having been convicted of driving while under the influence, or whatever brought them into this state of affairs. I can see where you would say that the individual who's getting the break should not authorize the other one to use the vehicle, but that is slightly impractical too, because the other one would be able to simply take it. So those aspects of the amendment I don't think would be serving a positive social good. Everything done should be aimed at pouring oil on troubled waters instead of gasoline on a domestic fire. So, if we have a situation where the impoundment of the car would create the hardship, we should not put the person who is facing the hardship in a situation of having to risk personal harm. So I would like to see us do away with the idea of placing a responsibility on this person to report to the law. The requirement...

SENATOR CROSBY: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...that this person not authorize the use of the vehicle, may have meaning and may not. All that person, who is under the hardship category, would have to say is, I did not authorize the use of it, but it was taken. If that would be accepted so that the hardship victim would not lose that status, then I don't mind that part remaining in the amendment. But I would be adamant against requiring that person to have to become an informant.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Beutler, there are no further lights. Would you like to close on your amendment?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Crosby, members of the Legislature, Senator Bromm has indicated that they're working on refinements of it that would bring it into closer agreement with the broad federal regulations. And, obviously, Senator Redfield has opened up a couple of other questions, and Senator Chambers has