

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 31, 1999 LB 585

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Redfield. Senator Bromm, on the Beutler amendment.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Senator Crosby. Senator Beutler, I'm trying to make sure that the form of the amendment and the language is going to work and I do appreciate the effort and the topic that...and the issue that you've raised. I think if we can do something along that line, that we should. Now, one of the questions you had was whether or not we could inject an undue hardship element as a basis for a release of the registration or vehicle and, from the reading that we've been able to do and counsel's been able to do in the last little while, it would appear to me that we can. I do have some concerns about...your amendment is drawn to page 7, line 24, and I don't know if that's of the original bill, but it would appear to me to be so. And I would suggest to...in order to remove any confusion about where the amendment works and how it works that we...I think it's worthy of discussion and I would suggest that we discuss it for a bit and have counsel look at that and we might need to do a little bit of redrafting. And, in addition, in looking at the federal rules and regs, a requirement, if we're going to put in an exception such as this, it would appear that there must be statewide published guidelines developed by the state. And so I'm suggesting that we add a sentence or so that directs the DMV to draw rules and regs for the implementation of the section. I think it would have...they would have to be consistent with, of course, with our language, but I think that may be necessary, and so I've asked Shawn to take a look at that and maybe do some drafting. While we're doing that, Senator Redfield raises some points about whether or not this is broad enough, or what do we do about college students whose parents get into a problem and have their name on the college student's vehicle? And this language of Senator Beutler does have some language in there that talks about the employment being necessary for the well-being of the affiant's dependent children or the affiant's parents. I, you know, I don't...I get a little bit...I want to address this area, but I get a little bit uncomfortable when we start thinking about all of the reasons that anyone might feel that they should be able to get their car, and the inconvenience, you know. At some point, it also needs to be said that we're talking about repeat