

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 31, 1999 LB 585

a registered owner, could we, if we want to, allow for a spouse to make the case that they really do need this vehicle in a hardship situation? And, of course, the hardship situation is going to apply a lot more to families at the lower end of the economic spectrum than at the higher end. So those are some of the considerations I was thinking about in drafting this amendment and I would recommend it for your approval and I'm interested in...certainly interested in Senator Bromm's reaction. Thank you.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Discussion on the Beutler amendment? Senator Redfield.

SENATOR REDFIELD: Thank you, Senator Crosby, members of the body. This amendment addresses one of the issues that I've been extremely concerned about, and that is those people who share ownership of a vehicle. There's also the innocent family member, it may be the children, it might be a college student who depends on a vehicle that is registered in a parent's name. And so I think we're moving in the right direction. But, Senator Beutler, I...as I'm looking at the language that you have prepared, I have still some concerns in the way you've put it together. First of all, this is an "and" situation. All of the criteria you've laid out have to be in place, every single one of them, because it's an "and", not an "or".

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator, are you asking Senator Beutler a question?

SENATOR REDFIELD: .No, I'm just pointing it out. He's nodding though. Thank you. And so that's one of the concerns I have, that one or another of those criteria might in fact be true while not all of them are true. Another concern that I have with the language is that the person has to be employed. What about stay-at-home moms? They're not covered here. What about, as I had mentioned, a college student who depends upon that vehicle for transportation to school? So I think that my request to you, Senator Beutler, would be that perhaps you go back to the drafting table and put some other stipulations into here that would address those issues, because I think you're absolutely on the right track here and I think we need to protect the innocent people involved.