

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 31, 1999 LB 585

to be a number of them out there. But it may be that in many cases the registered owner of a vehicle is not just one person. It may be somebody in addition to the person who has been convicted of two or more violations of DWI. And, more often than not in that situation, that person will be the spouse and oftentimes the spouse will rely on that vehicle for their own employment, for taking care of kids, for taking care of parents. In other words, that spouse, who is an innocent party, in some sense is victimized by a provision by the federal government that says the car is going to be taken away if there is a registered owner who has violated the DWI laws. And so what I would like to do, if the federal government will allow us to do it and if it makes sense in your judgment, is to say with respect to the direction in this bill that revocation of the registration and seizing of the license plates, that's still allowed under this section of the committee amendments and, if you do that, of course, no registered owner, innocent or guilty, can make use of the vehicle, so that provision stays in here. So what this amendment says is that if you immobilize a motor vehicle in this fashion, you're seeking to take away the registration and take away the plates, making it useless to anybody, if you're seeking to do that and if one of the owners is somebody other than the person who has violated the law, then that other person in a hardship situation can file an affidavit saying that they rely upon the affidavit...they rely upon the vehicle for employment, that the employment is necessary for the well-being of dependent children or parents, that the person will not authorize the use of the motor vehicle by any person who has been convicted of a second or subsequent violation and that they will report any unauthorized use of the motor vehicle to local law enforcement, and then it has another provision in enforcing that obligation. But that's the basic idea. We've now had a couple of amendments and it may be necessary for the Transportation Committee and Shawn to work on this a little bit more. But I think that if you will...if it can be done and if it meets with your approval certainly the language can be improved between now and Select File. And I would also perhaps make inquiry of Senator Bromm not only with regard to whether this can be done for a registered owner who is other than the offender, but can a...can, under federal regulations, a hardship provision be put in that would apply to families notwithstanding the technical nature of ownership? That is, even if you weren't